No.



<u>THIR UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</u>

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS: SHALL COME;

Michigan State University

MICCOS, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PEANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT (S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY PLAKS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC FRENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY ${
m LAW}$, The TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR THE ST. OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE RPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT X THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. IN THE UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY LD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBE RATIONS SPECIFIED BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT, COMMON

'Jewel'

In Testimone Thereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Baristy Frotestion Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this sixteenth day of May, in the year two thousand and eight.

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Servic

Spriculture

| REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and de | ite on all reprodu | ctions | | | | Form App | roved - OMB N | o. 0581-0055 |
|--|---------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| , J. J. U.S. DEPARTMEN AGRICULTÜRAL IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - PI | MARKETING SER | VICE | | ements are made in a eduction Act (PRA) of | | with the Privacy | Act of 1974 (5 L | I.S.C, 552a) and |
| APPLICATION FOR PLANT VAI (Instructions and information col | RIETY PROTECTI | ON CERTIFICATE | Application is requi | ired in order to deten nformation is held co | nine if a p nfidential (| lant variety proteci until certificate is is | tion certificate it sued (7 U.S.C. | s to be issued 2426). |
| 1. NAME OF OWNER | | | 2. TEMPORARY D | DESIGNATION OR | 3. VAF | RIETY NAME | | |
| Michigan State University | | | E1007W | Na. 19731976 | Jewe | 1 | | |
| 4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, | State, and ZIP Cod | de, and Country) | 5. TELEPHONE (i. | include area code) | | FOR OFF | ICIAL USE ON | LY |
| Executive Director, Office of Intelle Michigan State University | ectual Propert | у | (517) 355-2 6. FAX (include an | | | 0 0 7 | 004 | 00 |
| 2727 Alliance Drive Lansing, MI 48910 | | | (517) 432-38 | | FILING | | V V 4 | v o |
| 7. IF THE OWNER NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON", ORGANIZATION (corporation, partnership, associated associated in the company of the c | | 8. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION | 9. DATE OF INCO | DRPORATION | AL |)GUST | - 14, | 2007 |
| Michigan constitutional corporation | | | | | , , , | | | |
| 10. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER REPRESE | NTATIVE(S) TO S | L SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION. <i>(First)</i> | erson listed will receiv | ve all papers) | F E | FILING AND EX | CAMINATION F | EES: |
| Michigan State University Office of Intellectual Property 2727 Alliance Drive Lansing, MI 48910 | | | | | ES RECE!VE | DATE 7 | 2 +0 30/0 N FEE: , 00 | 7 2/6/08 |
| | | | | | D | 310 | 20/0 | 0 |
| 11. TELEPHONE (Include area code) | 12. FAX (Includ | | 13. E-MAIL | , | | | | |
| (517) 355-2186 14. CROP KIND (Common Name) | (517) 432 | | 18 DOES TH | HE VARIETY CONTA | ΙΝΙ ΔΝΎ Τ | PANSCENES? (O | PTIONAL | |
| soft white winter wheat | Gramineae | me (Dotanou) | D Y | | | | , | |
| 15. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME OF CROP | | RIETY A FIRST GENERATION HYBRI | IF SO, PI | LEASE GIVE THE A | | | | |
| Triticum aestivum L. | □YES | | APPRO | VED PETITION TO DE | EREGUL | ATE THE GENET | ICALLY MODIF | -IED PLANT FOR |
| 19. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | | | 20. DOES TH | E OWNER SPECIF | THAT S | EED OF THIS VAI | RIETY BE SOL | D AS A CLASS |
| (Follow instructions on reverse) | | | OF CERT | TIFIED SEED? (See | Section 8 | 33(a) of the Plant \ | ariety Protection | on Act) |
| a. Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of the Variety | | | | ES (If "yes", answer i | | | NO (If "no" | |
| b. Exhibit B. Statement of Distinctness | | | NUMBER | R OF CLASSES? | | | | |
| c. Exhibit C. Objective Description of Variety | | | · 🗸 🗸 | ES L. NO | | | | |
| d. Exhibit D. Additional Description of the Variety (Optional) | | | | VHICH CLASSES? IE OWNER SPECIF | | | | |
| e. Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of the Owner's Ownership | | | | R OF GENERATIONS | | LLD 01 11110 4711 | (ICT) DE EIII | |
| f. Voucher Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds or, for tuber propagated varieties, verification that tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository) | | | - | ES NO | ER 1,2,3, | etc. FOR EACH (| CLASS. | |
| g. Filing and Examination Fee (\$3,652), made payable to "Treasurer of the United | | | 4 FOUN | 4 FOUNDATION REGISTERED 4 CERTIFIED | | | | |
| States" (Mail to the Plant Variety Protection Office) | | | (If additional explanation is necessary, please use the space indicated on the reverse.) 24. IS THE VARIETY OR ANY COMPONENT OF THE VARIETY PROTECTED BY | | | | | |
| 23. HAS THE VARIETY (INCLUDING ANY HARVESTED MATERIAL) OR A HYBRID PRODUCED FROM THIS VARIETY BEEN SOLD, DISPOSED OF, TRANSFERRED, OR USED IN THE U. S. OR OTHER COUNTRIES? | | | | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT (PLANT BREEDER'S RIGHT OR PATENT)? | | | | |
| YES NO | | | ✓ Y | YES NO | | | | |
| IF YES, YOU MUST PROVIDE THE DATE OF FIRST SALE, DISPOSITION, TRANSFER, OR USE FOR EACH COUNTRY AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES. (Please use space indicated on reverse.) | | | | IF YES, PLEASE GIVE COUNTRY, DATE OF FILING OR ISSUANCE AND ASSIGNED REFERENCE NUMBER. (Please use space indicated on reverse.) | | | | |
| 25. The owners declare that a viable sample of basi a tuber propagated variety a tissue culture will be | | | | | cordance | with such regulation | ons as may be | applicable, or for |
| The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner of tentified to protection under the provisions of Sec | | | , and believe(s) that th | he variety is new, dis | tinct, unifo | orm, and stable as | required in Se | ction 42, and is |
| Owner(s) is (are) informed that false representa | tion herein can jeo | pardize protection and result in penalti | es. | | | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF OWNER 2 | 1665 | | IGNATURE OF OWNER | R | | | | |
| NAME (Please print or type) | | 1 | IAME (Please print or ty) | pe) | | | | |
| Michael R. Poterala | | | Michael R. Pot | erala | | | | |
| CAPACITY OR TITLE | DATE | 2/ 2 2 3 | APACITY OR TITLE | | DATE | | | |
| Executive Director | 4 | 5-26-07 I | Executive Direct | or | | <u>,</u> | | ······································ |
| | | | | | | | | |

#200700408

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: To be effectively filed with the Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO), ALL of the following items must be received in the PVPO: (1) Completed application form signed by the owner; (2) completed exhibits A, B, C, E; (3) for a seed reproduced variety at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds, for a hybrid variety at least 2,500 untreated seeds of each line necessary to reproduce the variety, or for tuber reproduced varieties verification that a viable (in the sense that it will reproduce an entire plant) tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository; (4) check drawn on a U.S. bank for \$3,652 (\$432 filing fee and \$3,220 examination fee), payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (See Section 97.6 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications will be held in the PVPO for not more than 90 days, then returned to the applicant as unfilled. Mail application and other requirements to Plant Variety Protection Office, AMS, USDA, Room 401, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the application are self explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the application form and exhibits must be initialed and dated. DO NOT use masking materials to make corrections. If a certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$432 for issuance of the certificate. Certificates will be issued to owner, not licensee or agent.

Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: (301) 504-5518 FAX: (301) 504-5291

Homepage: http://www.ams.usda.gov/science/pvpo/pvpindex.htm

To avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant must check the appropriate recognized authority and provide evidence that name has been cleared by the appropriate recognized authority before the Certificate of Protection is issued. For example, for agricultural and vegetable crops, contact: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 401 NAL Building, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: (301) 504-5682 http://www.ams.usda.gov/lsg/seed.htm.

ITEM

- 19a. Give:
- (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method;
- (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication;
- (3) evidence of uniformity and stability; and
- (4) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified
- 19b. Give a summary of the variety's distinctness. Clearly state how this application variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety is most similar to one variety or a group of related varieties:
 - (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively;
 - (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and
 - (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs (prints) of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate distinctness.
- 19c. Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO Office for most crops; specify crop kind. Fill in Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety) form as completely as possible to describe your variety.
- 19d. Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs. Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- . 19e. Section 52(5) of the Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. An Exhibit E form is available from the PVPO.
- 20. If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only, as a class of certified seed), the applicant MAY NOT reverse this affirmative decision after the variety has been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified, the applicant may change the choice. (See Regulations and Rules of Practice, Section 97.103).
- 23. See Sections 41, 42, and 43 of the Act and Section 97.5 of the regulations for eligibility requirements.
- See Section 55 of the Act for instructions on claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date.
- 22. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide a statement as to the limitation and sequence of generations that may be certified.)

Up to four generations of Foundation seed may be produced from breeder's seed, and up to 4 generations of Certified seed may be produced from Foundation seed.

23. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety (including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)

24. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).)

E1007W is the result of a cross between Pioneer 2552 and Pioneer 2737W. Pioneer 2552 is protected by United States PVP 9300172, issued 09/30/1993. Pioneer 2727W is protected by United States PVP 9200159, issued 04/30/1993

NOTES: It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any changes of address or change of ownership or assignment or owner's representative during the life of the application/certificate. The fees for filing a change of address; owner's representative; ownership or assignment; or any modification of owner's name is specified in Section 97.175 of the regulations. (See Section 101 of the Act, and Sections 97.130, 97.131, 97.175(h) of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1.4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

EXHIBIT A ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY

Jewel (experimental name MSU Line E1007W) is derived from a cross made in 1995 between Pioneer_2552/Pioneer_2737W. The F1 was increased in the greenhouse in 1995-96 and harvested in bulk without selection. The F2 seed was assigned a population number of 950435 and was planted in the fall of 1996 in a seven row, solid seeded drill plot. The harvest of this drill plot was bulked and planted in the fall of 1997 as an F3 drill plot. The F3 drill plot was bulked and planted in the fall of 1998 as an F4 drill plot. Harvest of the F4 drill plot in 1999 consisted of selecting 23 heads and planting them as separate F5 headrows in the fall of 1999. In 2000, one F5 headrow was selected and bulk harvested. This bulk was used to plant one F6 six-row space planted plot with 7.5 inch seed spacing between plants in the fall of 2000. The 2001 harvest from the F6 plot consisted of the single plant harvest of twelve plants, and bulk harvest of the remainder of the plot (01-Nursery Plot 121). In the fall of 2001, the twelve plants were planted in single plant derived space planted F7 plots (02-Nursery Plots 982-993) and the bulk was planted in the preliminary performance trials (02-MP035). One of the single plant derived F7 plots (02-Nursery Plot 990) was selected based on the fact that it was segregating for red and white colored grain. Two plants with white grain were harvested individually from this plot, and were planted in F8 single plant derived space planted plots (03-Nursery Plots 235&236) in the fall of 2002. The bulk from the F7 plot was planted as the F8 in an advanced yield trial (Trial 03-MP001) in the fall of 2002. Of the F8 single plant derived space-planted plots, one plot (03-Nursery Plot 235) was selected, from which seven plants were harvested individually and the remainder of the plot was bulked. The seven plants were used to space plant F9 single plant derived space planted plots in the fall of 2003 (04-Nursery Plots E1007W1 through 7). The bulk was planted and tested in the state performance trail planted in 2003 (Trial 04-MP010). The 2004 harvest consisted of four of the individual space planted plots, from which five plants were individually harvested from each and the remainder of the plants was bulked. In the fall of 2004, the bulk was used to plant the F10 in the state performance trial (05-MP010) and the remainder of the bulk was planted in Colorado for increase. In the summer of 2005, the harvest from the Colorado increase was turned over to Michigan Crop Improvement Association (MCIA) as breeder seed. Additional testing of Jewel was conducted on the F11 in the 2005/06 season in the state performance trial (Trial 05-MP010) from seed contributed by MCIA.

The selection criteria from the F2 through the F7 involved visual field observations of plant height, powdery mildew resistance, leaf rust resistance, lodging, maturity, seed color, black point, and overall visual plant health and harvested grain health. From the F8 onward, the selection criteria also included yield, test weight, harvested grain moisture, and milling and baking qualities. From the F8 and beyond (4 generations observed up to 2005/06), Jewel exhibited uniformity and stability. However, variants and offtypes within the variety have been observed and recorded as follows:

Variants observed in Jewel during the 2005/06 growing season include the following:

| | Percent Var | iants by Class |
|------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Breeder | Foundation |
| Red Grain: | 0.15% | 0.20% |

Offtypes observed in Jewel during the 2005/06 growing season include the following:

| | F | Percent Offtypes by Cl | ass |
|-------------------------|---------|------------------------|-----------|
| | Breeder | Foundation | Certified |
| Awnless White Chaffed: | 0.02% | 0.02% | 0.04% |
| Awnless Bronze Chaffed: | 0.05% | 0.05% | 0.07% |
| Extreme Talls: | 0.01% | 0.01% | 0.03% |
| Awned Bronze Chaffed: | 0.05% | 0.05% | 0.07% |

EXHIBIT B STATEMENT OF DISTINCTNESS

Jewel (experimental name MSU Line E1007W) is an awned, white chaffed, soft white winter wheat. Jewel is most similar to Pearl. Jewel has a three year average height of 36.6 inches and is similar in height to Pearl. Jewel has a three year average Julian Calendar flowering date of 152.1 which is similar to Pearl. The plant characteristics of Jewel include: At juvenile growth – a green (not red) coleoptile, semi-erect growth habit. At flowering - a yellow (not purple) anther. The stem auricles are glabrous. The flag leaf blade, flag leaf sheath, and the culm exhibit a waxy surface. The seed shape of Jewel is oval. Specific differences between Jewel and Pearl are:

2006 and 2005 data supports that Jewel is less susceptible to lodging than Pearl.

Jewel is an awned wheat, whereas Pearl is apically awnletted.

Specific genetic differences between Jewel and Pearl were NOT obtained.

SUPPORTING DATA (Table 1):

The supporting data included is from the Michigan State Wheat Performance Trials which include three years of single year, multi-location averages from the 2004-06 growing seasons. Details of each trial year can be found outlined below and more specific location detail can be obtained from the Appendix Tables from 2006(A), 2005(B), and 2004(C) which are attached. Tables contain only those treatments (entries) which are relevant for the purpose of this description. However, the averages and statistical parameters in these tables are based on the entire set of evaluated treatments for each year. Tables also include the total number of locations, and the total number of replications that were observed for each agronomic trait, for each growing year. Disease and lodging resistance claims (scores) are based on visual comparison observations scores and are based on a 0-9 scale, were 0 = none. Data on Fusarium head blight (scab) were obtained from a misted and inoculated scab screening nursery. The scab nursery was inoculated (from lab-produced infected grain spread onto the field), and artificial misting was employed throughout the entire flowering period. Field symptom data reported here are based on: 1) the percent of spikes showing any scabby spikelets; (incidence) 2) the percent of scabby spikelets within infected spikes; (severity) and 3) the percent of scabby spikelets considering all spikes (scab index). The scab index is a measure of the extent of damage to entire plots due to scab infection, and generally relates to the effect of scab on yield. Data of deoxynivalenol (DON), a mycotoxin produced from Fusarium head blight, are from harvested grain in the inoculated, mist irrigated, scab screening nursery. DON data are presented in parts per million (ppm). DON data is presented in parts per million (ppm).

2006 Michigan State Wheat Performance Trials data (68 treatments/entries observed):

Trial was planted at eight sites throughout Michigan's soft winter wheat growing regions. Six of those sites were designed and executed as four replication alpha-lattice design. Plots were six rows spaced 7.5 inches apart and were 12 feet in length. They were planted to a density of 2 million seeds per acre. All data presented is based upon the entire plot from these sites (Huron, Ingham, Lenawee, Midland, Saginaw, and Sanilac Counties).

A single site was designed as a two replication RCB mainly for observation. Planting and seed density were the same as indicated above (Ingham County Observation).

Another site was inoculated (from lab-produced infected grain spread onto the field), and artificially misted to stimulate Fusarium Head Blight infections. This site was a four replication RCB design. Plots were planted as single rows 15 inches apart and were 5 feet in length. They were planted to a density of 836 thousand seeds per acre. All data presented on Fusarium Head Blight is based upon the entire plot from this site (Ingham Scab Nursery).

EXHIBIT B (continued) STATEMENT OF DISTINCTNESS

All of the statistical analysis for the data presented in the tables was performed by Residual Maximum Likelihood Model (REML 3.4) which is appropriate for the design used. The average means as well as the LSD (p-value of 0.05) are presented.

2005 Michigan State University State Performance Trial data (90 treatments/entries observed):

Trial was planted at eight sites throughout Michigan's soft winter wheat growing regions. Six of those sites were designed and executed as four replication alpha-lattice design. Plots were six rows spaced 7.5 inches apart and were 12 feet in length. They were planted to a density of 2 million seeds per acre. All data presented is based upon the entire plot from these sites (Huron, Ingham, Lenawee, Midland, Saginaw, and Sanilac Counties).

A single site was designed as a two replication RCB mainly for observation. Planting and seed density were the same as indicated above (Ingham County Observation).

Another site was inoculated (from lab-produced infected grain spread onto the field), and artificially misted to stimulate Fusarium Head Blight infections. This was designed and executed as four replication alpha-lattice design. Plots were planted as single rows 15 inches apart and were 4 feet in length. They were planted to a density of 836 thousand seeds per acre. All data presented on Fusarium Head Blight is based upon the entire plot from this site (Ingham Scab Nursery). All of the statistical analysis for the data presented in the tables was performed by Residual Maximum Likelihood Model (REML 3.4) which is appropriate for the design used. The average means as well as the LSD (p-value of 0.05) are presented.

2004 Michigan State University State Performance Trial data (84 treatments/entries observed):

Trial was planted at eight sites throughout Michigan's soft winter wheat growing regions. Six of those sites were designed and executed as four replication alpha-lattice design. Plots were seven rows spaced 6 inches apart and were 12 feet in length. They were planted to a density of 1.8 million seeds per acre. All data presented is based upon the entire plot from these sites (Clinton, Ingham, Lenawee, 2-Saginaw, and Sanilac Counties).

One replication was planted at a single site mainly for observation. Planting and seed density were the same as indicated above (Ingham County Observation).

Another site was inoculated (from lab-produced infected grain spread onto the field), and artificially misted to stimulate Fusarium Head Blight infections. This was designed and executed as a three replication randomized complete block. Plots were seven rows spaced 6 inches apart and were 12 feet in length. They were planted to a density of 1.8 million seeds per acre. All data presented on Fusarium Head Blight is based upon the entire plot from this site (Ionia Scab Nursery).

All of the statistical analysis for the data presented in the tables was performed by Residual Maximum Likelihood Model (REML 3.4) which is appropriate for the design used. The average means as well as the LSD (p-value of 0.05) are presented.

* Please refer to the Appendix Tables to see specific year and location information and the field data obtained during each growing season.

2006 Michigan State University Wheat Performance Trials

| Trials. | |
|----------------|--|
| Performance | |
| 6 MSU Wheat | |
| s for 200 | |
| e Descriptions | |
| Trial Sit | |
| Appendix A. | |

| | 10011 | La | Mana Hala | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | COUNTY | YIELD TRIAL | INGHAM COUNTY OBSERVATION | SCAB NURSERY | LENAWEE | MIDLAND | SANILAC | SAGINAW |
| COOPERATOR | DARWIN SNELLER | TIM DIETZ | MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY | MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY | WOODS SEED FARM | FRED SILER | STOUGHTENBURG FARMS | STUART |
| NEAREST CITY | SEBEWAING | WILLIAMSTON | MASON | EAST LANSING | BRITTON | LAPORTE | SANDUSKY | GERA |
| PLANTING DATE | OCT. 5, 2005 | OCT. 1, 2005 | OCT. 10, 2005 | OCT. 12, 2005 | SEPT. 30, 2005 | SEPT. 24, 2005 | SEPT. 25, 2005 | OCT. 4, 2005 |
| HARVEST DATE | July 17, 2006 | N/A | N/A | N/A | July 10, 2006 | July 15, 2006 | July 16, 2006 | July 14, 2006 |
| PRE-PLANT FERTILIZER | NONE | 150# 6-24-24 | 150# 6-24-24 | 150# 6-24-24 | 300# 9-23-30 | 250# 6-15-36 | 190# 8-15-30 +3.7% S | 300# 6-11-35 +1%Mn+0.3Cu +0.3Zn |
| COMMENTS | Light to Moderate Leaf Rust | Light Powdery Mildew; Moderate Leaf Blotch Pressure, Moderate Wheat Spindle Steak Mosaic Virus Atypical Lodging: Did Not Harvest | Observation Site / Yield Not Taken | Inoculated / Misted Scab Screening Nursery | Moderate to Heavy Leaf Rust Early; Moderate Lodging; No-tilled | Light to Moderate Leaf Rust Pressure Late | Light to Moderate Leaf Rust, Light to Moderate Lodging | Light to Moderate Leaf Rust |
| AVERAGE YIELD (BUSHELS / ACRE) | 77.6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 79.6 | 101.7 | 104.7 | 90.9 |
| AVERAGE TEST WEIGHT (LBS./ BUSHEL) | 57.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 58.4 | 57.8 | 56.9 | 56.8 |
| AVERAGE PERCENT GRAIN MOISTURE | 14.0 | N/A | A/N | N/A | 14.0 | 13.6 | 17.5 | 16.4 |
| DATA RECORDED (NUMBER OF REPS) | | PL_HT (3); WSSV (2); PM (2); STRI (2) | FD (2) | FHBI% (2); FHBS% (2); FHBX (2) | LODGE (4); LRUST (2); PL_HT (2) | LODGE (4); LRUST (2); PL_HT (4); FD (4); PM (2); STRI (2); SPROUT (4); | LODGE (3) | LODGE (4); SPROUT (4) |
| ATTOUR DATA. ED | | | 1 | | | | | |

*OTHER DATA: FD - Flowering Date (Days Past Jan. 01), PL_HT - Plant Height in Inches, SPROUT - In-Head Pre-Harvest Sprouting Score (0-9), LODGE - Lodging Score (0-9), LRUST - Leaf Rust Score (0-9), STRI - Stagonospora tritici (Leaf Blotch) Score (0-9), PM - Powdery Mildew Score (0-9), WSSV - Wheat Spindle Streak Mosaic Score (0-9), FHBI - Fusarium Head Blight Incidence Percent (0-100%), FHBS - Fusarium Head Blight Severity Percent (0-100%), FHBX - Fusarium Head Blight Severity Index * SCORING INFORMATION: Score of 0 = Best Rating - Score of 9 = Poor Rating

MSU Wheat Breeding Program: L. Siler, J. Hamel, R. Ward, and Guo-Liang Jiang

7 of 7

2005 Michigan State University Wheat Variety Trials

| Appendix B. Trial Site | e Descriptions for 200 | Trial Site Descriptions for 2005 MSU Wheat Variety Trials. | Trials. | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | HURON | YIELD TRIAL | INGHAM COUNTY OBSERVATION | SCAB NURSERY | LENAWEE | MIDLAND | SANILAC | SAGINAW |
| COOPERATOR | DARWIN SNELLER | TIM DIETZ | MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY | MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY | WOODS SEED FARM | FRED SILER | STOUGHTENBURG FARMS | STUART |
| NEAREST CITY | SEBEWAING | WILLIAMSTON | MASON | EAST LANSING | BRITTON | LAPORTE | SANDUSKY | GERA |
| PLANTING DATE | 10/11/04 | 10/03/04 | 10/06/04 | 10/08/05 | 09/30/04 | 10/05/04 | 10/01/04 | 09/29/04 |
| HARVEST DATE | 07/23/05 | 07/12/05 | N/A | N/A | 07/11/05 | 07/17/05 | 07/21/05 | 07/20/05 |
| PRE-PLANT FERTILIZER | 225# 6-17-34 | 200# 6-24-24 | 200# 6-24-24 | 200# 6-24-24 | 300# 9-23-30 | 250# 10-12-36 +1% Mn | 190# 8-15-30 +3.7% S | 300# 6-11-35 +1%Mn+0.3Cu +0.3Zn |
| COMMENTS | Light Scab Pressure; Moderate Leaf Blotch Pressure | Light to Moderate Powdery Mildew; Moderate Leaf Blotch Pressure | Observation Site / Yield Not Taken | | Light to Moderate Powdery Mildew Early Moderate Leaf Rust Pressure Late; Moderate Leaf Blotch Pressure | Light Leaf Rust Pressure Late; Moderate Leaf Blotch Pressure | Light to Moderate Winter Kill (Injury);Light to Moderate Powdery Mildew Early; Moderate Leaf Blotch Pressure | Light to Moderate Winter Kill (Injury); Light to Moderate Powdery Mildew Early; Moderate Leaf Blotch Pressure |
| AVERAGE YIELD (BUSHELS / ACRE) | 81.9 | 66.3 | N/A | N/A | 79.1 | 80.2 | 81.0 | 91.5 |
| AVERAGE TEST WEIGHT (LBS./BUSHEL) | 58.5 | 60.4 | N/A | N/A | 59.5 | 60.4 | 56.1 | 58.5 |
| AVERAGE PERCENT GRAIN MOISTURE | 14.2 | 13.1 | A/N | N/A | 11.2 | 15.5 | 12.5 | 15.4 |
| DATA RECORDED (NUMBER OF REPS) | STRI (2) | PL_HT (4); PM (2); | SPROUT (2); FD (1); W_KIL (1) | FHBI% (4); FHBS% (4); FHBX (4) | LRUST (2); PM (2); STRI (2) | LRUST (1); PL_HT (3); FD (4); SPROUT (3) | LODGE (4); STRI (2); W_KIL (4); SPROUT W_KIL (4) | W_KIL (4); SPROUT (3) |
| 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | | | | | | | | |

*OTHER DATA: FD – Flowering Date (Days Past Jan. 01), PL_HT - Plant Height in Inches, SPROUT - In-Head Pre-Harvest Sprouting Score (0-9), LOGE - Lodging Score (0-9), LRUST - Leaf Rust Score (0-9), STRI – Stagonospora tritici (Leaf Blotch) Score (0-9), PM - Powdery Mildew Score (0-9), W_KIL - Winter Kill (Injury) Score (0-9), FHBI - Fusarium Head Blight Severity Index Fusarium Head Blight Severity Index - Fusarium Head Blight Severity Index - Score of 9 = Poor Rating - Score of 9 = Poor Rating

FD (2); FHBI (3); FHBS (3)

FD (3); SPROUT (4); PM (3)

N/A

17.8

16.2

16.4

13.7

۷ ۷

14.5

16.6

AVERAGE PERCENT GRAIN MOISTURE

2004 Michigan State University Wheat Variety Trials

| Appendix C. Trial Sit | e Descriptions for 200 | Appendix C. Trial Site Descriptions for 2004 MSU Wheat Variety Trials. | Trials. | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | COUNTY | INGHAM COUNT YIELD TRIAL OBS | COUNTY OBSERVATION | LENAWEE COUNTY | SANILAC | SAGINAW COUNTY (1) | SAGINAW COLINTY (2) | IONIA |
| COOPERATOR | ROGER GABLE | OESTERLE BROTHERS | MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY | WOODS SEED FARM | STOUGHTENBURG FARMS | STUART | FRED | MSU CLARKSVILLE RESEARCH STATION |
| NEAREST CITY | MIDDLETON | MASON | MASON | BRITTON | SANDUSKY | GERA | MERRILL | CLARKSVILLE |
| PLANTING DATE | 10/08/03 | 10/09/03 | 10/10/03 | 10/11/03 | 10/01/03 | 09/29/03 | 09/30/03 | 10/13/03 |
| HARVEST DATE | 07/20/04 | 07/14/04 | | 07/13/04 | 07/23/04 | 07/21/04 | 07/19/04 | |
| PRE-PLANT FERTILIZER | 200 # 10-26-26 | 350# 6-24-24 | 200# 6-24-24 | 250#6-22-22 | 150# 10-20-20+200# Potash | 300# 5-13- 33+1%Mg+0.4Cu | 200# 10-12- 13+1%Mn+100#Gyp+ 10#Cu | 250# 19-19-20 |
| COMMENTS | moderate to heavy scab pressure, depedning on flowering date; heavy leaf blotch pressure | moderate to heavy scab pressure, depedning on flowering date; heavy leaf blotch pressure | unreplicated observation site | extremely heavy, uniform scab pressure; heavy leaf blotch pressure | low disease pressure | light to moderate scab pressure, depending on flowering date; moderate leaf blotch pressure | light to moderate scab pressure, depending on flowering date; moderate leaf blotch pressure | Mist irrigated and scatinoculated |
| AVERAGE YIELD (BUSHELS / ACRE) | 70.0 | 57.9 | N/A | 59.5 | 84.2 | 91.4 | 73.7 | N/A |
| AVERAGE TEST WEIGHT (LBS, / BUSHEL) | 55.2 | 54.7 | N/A | 52.5 | 59.6 | 59.2 | 28.7 | N/A |

*OTHER DATA: FD -- Flowering Date, PLHT - Plant Height in Inches, SPROUT - In-Head Pre-Harvest Sprouting Score (0-9), SEPT -- Septoria Score (0-9), PM - Powdery Mildew Score (0-9), FHBS - Fusarium Head Blight Score (0-9), FHBS - Fusarium Head

PLHT (4); SEPT (2); FHBI (3); FHBS (3); FHBX (1)

SPROUT (1)

PLHT (4); SPROUT

SEPT (2)

OTHER DATA (NUMBER OF REPS)

200700408

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | - | rviitai a (collulueu) | incuracy) | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|---|--------------|------|-----------------------|-------------|
| lable 1: Single year, multi-location averages from the 200 | i-location | averages fro | om the 20 | 04-06 grov | 04-06 growing seasons. | JS, | | | | | | ٠ |
| | | Lodging Score | | | Flowering Date | | | Plant Height | | | Leaf Rust | |
| • | | (0-9); (0=none) | | <u> </u> | (Days Past Jan. 1) | _ | (Inches from | (Inches from ground to the top of head) | top of head) | | Score (0-9): (0=none) | ie) |
| NAME | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | אסטנ |
| Jewel | 2.8 | 2.1 | | 149.7 | 155.2 | 151.3 | 40.9 | 34.1 | 34.7 | 4.6 | 2.0 | |
| Pearl | 5.4 | 4.0 | | 149.4 | 155.5 | 152.8 | 41.3 | 33.5 | 34.2 | 8:0 | 1.0 | ļ |
| # LOCATIONS OBSERVED | 4 | Ħ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | m | 2 | ļ | ď | 3 | c |
| # REPLICATIONS OBSERVED | 15 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 'n | 50 | 6 | | . ∞ | , u | N 6f | > |
| TRIAL MEAN | 4.3 | 3,1 | 1 | 149.8 | 155.0 | 152.6 | 40.8 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 4.1 | 2,4 | • |
| TSD (0.05) | 1.6 | 1.1 |] | 6.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1,4 | . 63 | 22 | ļ |
| CV (%) | 26.6 | 23.3 | | 6'0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 26.8 | 46.1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Powdery Mildew | | | Leaf Blotch | | Wheat Spi | Wheat Spindle Streak Mosaic Virus | saic Virus | | Winter Kill | |
| | Sc | Score (0-9); (0=none) | 3) | Scc | Score (0-9); (0=none) | ie) | Sco | Score (0-9); (0=none) | (e) | Scol | Score (0-9): (0=none) | i i |
| NAME | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 9007 | 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Jewel | 3.0 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 1.0 | | | | 1.2 | |
| Pearl | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 3.0 | į | | | 3.9 | |
| # LOCATIONS OBSERVED | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | o | c | ~ | c |
| # REPLICATIONS OBSERVED | 4 | 4 | м | 4 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 0 | . 0 | | or | o c |
| TRIAL MEAN | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 3.3 | į | .] | • | 8. | , |
| (SO:0) QST | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 3.1 | | 1 | 1 | 1.6 | ļ |
| (%) A3 | 27.9 | 30.8 | 46.1 | 23.5 | 24.0 | 15.4 | 45.3 | ļ | - |] | 55,5 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | • | | | |

EXHIBIT B (continued)

| | | | Fusarium | Head Blight (Sc | Fusarium Head Blight (Scab) Data : Field Observation Symptoms | Observation Sy | mptoms | | | Deo | Deoxynivaleno! (DON) | S. |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|---|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|------|----------------------|---------|
| | Incir | Incidence (% of spikes) | es) | Sever | Severity (% within spikes) | ikes) | Index | Index (% overall infection) | ction) | | . Wad | • |
| NAME | 2006 | 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Jewei | 70.0 | 67.9 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 43.8 | 52.1 | 31.5 | 24.5 | 52.1 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 14.0 |
| Pearl | 20.0 | 59.9 | 98.4 | 50.0 | 29.1 | 56.4 | 10.0 | 16.2 | 55.5 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 10.0 |
| # LOCATIONS OBSERVED | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |
| # REPLICATIONS OBSERVED | 7 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| TRIAL MEAN | 41.5 | 66.5 | 97.2 | 41.2 | 36.8 | 51.4 | 18.1 | 25.0 | 50.5 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 7.2 |
| (\$0.05) | 21.7 | 22.1 | 9.2 | 16.4 | 24.0 | 28.4 | 16.0 | 18.7 | 29.6 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 6,3 |
| CV (%) | 26.2 | 20.8 | 4.5 | 20.0 | 40.1 | 26.3 | 44.0 | 45.7 | 27.9 | 32.1 | 58.3 | 23.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ᄪ | In Head Sprouting | h a | | Black Point | | Thou | Thousand Kernel Weight | sight . | | | |
| | Sco | Score (0-9); (0=none) | e) | Perce | Percent of Seed Infection | ction | | Grams | | | | |
| NAME | 2006 | 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2002 | 2004 | | | |
| Jewei | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 7.9 | 47.0 | 29.7 | 35.1 | 34.8 | | | , C |
| Pearl | 8.7 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 40.9 | 34.7 | 34.2 | 33.5 | | | υ. |
| # LOCATIONS OBSERVED | 2 | æ | 3 | m | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| # REPLICATIONS OBSERVED | ∞ | œ | 7 | m | 4 | ĸ | 4 | н | н | | | <i></i> |
| TRIAL MEAN | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 10.4 | 15.2 | 49.7 | **** | - | | | | |
| (0.05) TSD (0.05) | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 18.8 | **** | ! | | | | |
| CV (%) | 14.4 | 20.9 | 22.7 | 54.8 | 47.1 | 21.5 | | 1 | I | | | |

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and date on all reproductions.

Form Approved OMB NO 0581-0055
According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing date sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, Jarge print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whilten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY **PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE** BELTSVILLE, MD 20705

Exhibit C

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

| | Wheat (<i>I ritic</i> | <i>:um</i> sp | p.) | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|---------------|
| NAME OF APPLICANT (S) Michigan State University - CSS Dept. | TEMPORARY OR EXPERIMENTAL | DESIGNATIO | ч | VARIETY N | AME | | | |
| Rick Ward and Lee Siler | MSU Line E1007W | | | Jewel | | | | |
| ADDRESS (Street and No. or RD No., City, State, Zip Code and Country) | | | | FOR OFFICE | AL USE OF | a.v | | |
| 246 Administration Building East Lansing, MI 48824-1046 | | | | PVPO NUME | | 07 | 004 | 0 8 |
| PLEASE READ ALL INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY | Y: | | | | | ······································ | ·· | |
| Place the appropriate number that describes the variable when number is either 99 or less or 9 or less respect should be determined from varieties entered in the sa designate system used: your application. | ively. Data for quantitative plant | characters | should be bas recognized co | sed on a mi olor standal | nimum o d may b | f 100 plan e used to | ts. Compa determine | plant colors; |
| 1. KIND: 1 = Common 2 = Durum 3 = Club 4 = Other (Specify) | | VERNAL | IZATION: 1 = Spring 2 = Winter 3 = Other (Sp | ecify) | | | | |
| 3. COLEOPTILE ANTHOCYANIN: 1 = Absent 2 = Present | 4. | JUVENIL 2 | E PLANT GRO | | 2 = Sem | ni-erect | 3 = Erec | :t |
| 5. PLANT COLOR: (boot stage) | 6. | FLAG LE | AF: (boot stag | e) | | | | |
| 2 1 = Yellow-Green 2 = Green 3 = Blue-Green | | | 1 = Erect 1 = Not Twiste | ed | 2 = Rec 2 = Twis | | | |
| | | 긁 | 1 = Wax Abse | | | Present | | |
| Same As *_ Number of Days Later Than *_ | Caledonia Pearl Pelative to a PVPO-Approved Col | mmercial V | ariety Grown li | n the Same | : Trial | | | |
| 1 1 = Yellow 2 = Purple | | | | | | | | |

9. PLANT HEIGHT: (from soil to top of head, excluding awns)

| 9 | 3. | C |
|---|----|---|
| | | |

cm (Average)

| 5. | 4 |
|----|---|

cm Taller Than

Caledonia

Same As

Pearl

3. 0

cm Shorter Than

MSU D6234

10. STEM:

A. ANTHOCYANIN



1 = Absent 2 = Present

B. WAXY BLOOM

2

1 = Absent 2 = Present

C. HAIRINESS (last internode of rachis)

1 = Absent

2

2 = Present

D. INTERNODE

1 = Hollow

2 = Semi-solid

3 = Solid

Number of Nodes

E. PEDUNCLE

1 = Erect 2 = Recurved

3 = Semi-erect

cm Length

F. AURICLE

Anthocyanin:

1 = Absent

2 = Present

Hair:

1 = Absent

2 = Present

11. HEAD: (At Maturity)

A. DENSITY

2

1 = Lax

2 = Middense (Laxidense)

3 = Dense

B. SHAPE

2

1 = Tapering

2 = Strap

3 = Clavate

4 = Other (Specify)

C. CURVATURE

1 = Erect

2 = Inclined 3 = Recurved

D. AWNEDNESS

1 = Awnless

2 = Apically Awnletted

3 = Awnletted

4 = Awned

12. GLUMES: (At Maturity)

A. COLOR

1

1 = White

3 = Other (Specify)

B. SHOULDER

1

1 = Wanting

2 = Oblique

3 = Rounded

4 = Square 6 = Apiculate

5 = Elevated

7 = Other (Specify)

C. SHOULDER WIDTH

1 = Narrow

2 = Medium

3 = Wide

D. BEAK

1

1 = Obtuse

2 = Acute

3 = Acuminate

E. BEAK WIDTH

1 = Narrow

2 = Medium

3 = Wide

F. GLUME LENGTH

1 = Short (ca. 7mm)

2 = Medium (ca. 8mm)

3 = Long (ca. 9mm)

G. WIDTH

1 = Narrow (ca. 3mm)

2 = Medium (ca. 3.5mm)

3 = Long (ca. 4mm)

| | | Exhibit C (Whea |
|---------|--|---|
| 13. SE | ED: | • |
| A. | SHAPE | E. COLOR |
| 2 | 1 = Ovate 2 = Oval 3 = Elliptical | 1 = White 2 = Amber 3 = Red 4 = Other (Specify) |
| В. | CHEEK | F. TEXTURE |
| 2 | 1 = Rounded 2 = Angular | 2 1 = Hard 2 = Soft 3 = Other (Specify) |
| C. | BRUSH | G. PHENOL REACTION (See Instructions) |
| 2 | 1 = Short | 1 = Ivory 4 = Dark Brown 2 = Fawn 5 = Black 3 = Light Brown |
| Đ. | CREASE | H. SEED WEIGHT |
| 1 | 1 = Width 60% or less of Kernel 2 = Width 80% or less of Kernel 3 = Width Nearly as Wide as Kernel | 3 6 g/1000 Seed (Whole number only) |
| 1 | 1 = Depth 20% or less of Kernel 2 = Depth 35% or less of Kernel 3 = Depth 50% or less of Kernel | I. GERM SIZE 2 1 = Small 2 = Midsize 3 = Large |
| 4. DIS | EASE: PLEASE INDICATE THE SPECIFIC RACE OR STR | RAIN TESTED |
| | (0 = Not Tested 1 = Susceptible | 2 = Resistant 3 = Intermediate 4 = Tolerant) |
| 0 | Stem Rust (Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici) | 1 Leaf Rust (Puccinia recondita f. sp. tritici) |
| 0 | Stripe Rust (Puccinia striiformis) | 0 Loose Smut (Ustilago tritici) |
| 0 | Tan Spot (Pyrenophora tritici-repentis) | Flag Smut (Urocystis agropyri) |
| 0 | Halo Spot (Selenophoma donacis) | O Common Bunt (Tilletia tritici or T. laevis) |
| 0 | Septoria nodorum (Glume Blotch) | Dwarf Bunt (Tilletia controversa) |
| 0 | Septoria avenae (Speckled Leaf Disease) | Karnal Bunt (Tilletia indica) |
| 1 | Septoria tritici (Speckled Leaf Blotch) | 1 Powdery Mildew (Erysiphe graminis f. sp. tritici) |
| 1 | Scab (Fusarium spp.) | 0 "Snow Molds" |
| 3 | "Black Point" (Kernel Smudge) | Common Root Rot (Fusarium, Cochliobolus and Bipolaris spp.) |
| 6 | Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus (BYDV) | |
| 0 | , , | Rhizoctonia Root Rot (<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>) |
| 3 | Soilborne Mosaic Virus (SBMV) | Black Chaff (Xanthomonas campestris pv. translucens). |
| 0 | Wheat Yellow (Spindle Streak) Mosaic Virus | Bacterial Leaf Bilgrit (Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae) |
| | Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus (WSMV) | Other (Specify) |
| | Other (Specify) | Other (Specify) |
| | Other (Specify) | |
| | Other (Specify) | Other (Specify) |
| i. INSI | ECT: (0 = Not Tested 1 = Susceptible 2 = Resistar | nt 3 = Intermediate 4 = Tolerant) |
| | PLEASE SPE | CIFY BIOTYPE (where needed) |
| | Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) | Other (Specify) |
| 0 | Stem Sawfly (Cephus spp.) | Other (Specify) |
| 1 | Cereal Leaf Beetle (Oulema melanopa) | Other (Specify) |

#200700408

| F٧ | hi | hii | • | (Wh | pa1 |
|----|----|-----|---|-----|-----|


| | * (| | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| 15. INS | SECT: (continued) | 0 = Not Tested | 1 = Susceptible | 2 = Resistant | 3 = Intermediate | 4 = Tolerant | |
| | | | PLEASE S | PECIFY BIOTYPE | (Where Needed) | | |
| 0 | Russian Aphid (<i>Di</i> | iuraphis noxia) | | Other (| Specify) | | |
| 0 | Greenbug (Schiza | phis graminum) | | Other (| Specify) | | |
| 0 | Aphids | | | Other (| Specify) | | |
| | | | | ***** | | | |

16. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON ANY ITEM ABOVE, OR GENERAL COMMENTS:

WHEAT DESCRIPTOR ILLUSTRATIONS

Section Numbers Correspond to the Numbers of the Sections on the Form

#200700408



200700408

EXHIBIT D ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THE VARIETY

MILLING AND BAKING QUALITY DATA (Table 2):

Included is the milling and baking data from the 2004-06 harvests. These data are from the harvest of a single replication from the sites described below. These data include three years of single year data. All grain quality was evaluated by The USDA/ARS Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory in Wooster Ohio.

Data Summary:

2006

Sampling size was 250gm from one replication at each location. The sites used were Lenawee and Saginaw Counties. The two samples were composited and sent for quality analysis.

2005

Sampling size was 250gm from one replication at each location. The sites used were Midland and Saginaw Counties. The two samples were composited and sent for quality analysis.

<u>2004</u>

Sampling size was 250gm from one replication at each location. The sites used were Lenawee and Sanilac Counties. The two samples were composited and sent for quality analysis.

15

EXHIBIT D

Table 4: All grain quality was evaluated by The USDA/ARS Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory in Wooster Ohio.

| | P | ercent Flour Yie | ld | Pe | rcent Flour Prot | ien | Lac | tic Acid Retenti | ion |
|------------|------|------------------|------|------|------------------|------|-------|------------------|-------|
| NAME | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Jewel | 71.8 | 72.2 | 71.5 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 6.3 | 109.1 | 104.2 | 113.0 |
| Pearl | 70.3 | 72.6 | 69.8 | 6.9 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 113.0 | 107.0 | 114.1 |
| TRIAL MEAN | 70.4 | 71.7 | 70.7 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 104.9 | 98.4 | 104.1 |

| | Milling Quality Score | | | Baking Quality Score | | | Top Grain | | |
|------------|-----------------------|------|------|----------------------|------|------|-----------|------|------|
| NAME | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Jewei | 75.1 | 74.2 | 75.2 | 53.0 | 72.4 | 38.8 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| Pearl | 67.4 | 76.0 | 66.6 | 54.3 | 79.0 | 60.1 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 |
| TRIAL MEAN | 68.1 | 71.6 | 71.3 | 58.0 | 71.1 | 50.2 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 5.6 |

| | Cookie Diameter (cm) | | | Quality Lab Test Weight | | | Test Weight Score | | |
|------------|----------------------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|-------------------|------|------|
| NAME | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Jewel | 18.2 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 60.0 | 63.5 | 62.0 | 53.2 | 54.6 | 61.6 |
| Pearl | 18.2 | 18.0 | 18.8 | 60.7 | 64.1 | 63.3 | 58.6 | 59.4 | 72.3 |
| TRIAL MEAN | 18.3 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 60.4 | 64.0 | 62.6 | 56.7 | 58.3 | 66.7 |

| <u> </u> | Perce | nt Softness Equi | ivalent | Softness Equivalent Score | | | |
|------------|-------|------------------|---------|---------------------------|------|------|--|
| NAME | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | |
| Jewel | 60.8 | 53.6 | 57.4 | 64.7 | 68.2 | 63.2 | |
| Pearl | 61.8 | 56.9 | 58.2 | 67.7 | 77.7 | 65.0 | |
| TRIAL MEAN | 61.0 | 54.4 | 56.8 | 65.3 | 70.7 | 61.9 | |

| REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and edition date on al | Il reproductions. F | ORM APPROVED - OMB No. 0581-00: | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE EXHIBIT E STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP | Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). The information is held confidential until the certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426). | | | | | |
| 1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) | 2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION | 3. VARIETY NAME | | | | |
| T. MANUE OF AFT EIGANT(O) | OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER | 3. VARIETT NAME | | | | |
| chigan State University | E1007W | Jewell | | | | |
| 4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country) | 5. TELEPHONE (Include area code) | 6. FAX (Include area code) | | | | |
| 727 Alliance Drive ansing, MI 48910 | (517) 355-2186 | (517) 432-3880 | | | | |
| | 7. PVPO NUMBER | | | | | |
| | # 2 (| 00700408 | | | | |
| 8. Does the applicant own all rights to the variety? Mark an "X" in the | e appropriate block. If no, please explai | n. YES NO | | | | |
| 9. Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. national or a U.S. b | pased company? If no, give name of co | ountry. YES NO | | | | |
| 10. Is the applicant the original owner? YES | NO If no, please answer one | of the following: | | | | |
| b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(les) YES YES | NO If no, give name of country, is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. bas | ed company? | | | | |
| 11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original contents of the content | nal breeder to current owner. Use the re | verse for extra space if needed): | | | | |
| E1007W ("Jewel") is an inbred line created by Prof. Rick Ward a was conducted by MSU personnel. MSU is therefore the origina | and Mr. Lee Siler at Michigan State Uni ting breeder and owner of E1007W ("Je | versity. All selection and testing wel"). | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| PLEASE NOTE: | | | | | | |
| | sees) who meet the following criteria: | | | | | |
| Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licens | erson must be a U.S. national, national of | of a UPOV member country, or ss. | | | | |
| Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licens 1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that p | erson must be a U.S. national, national of the U.S. for the same genus and specie yed the original breeder(s), the company | es. must be U.S. based, owned by | | | | |
| Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licens 1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that p national of a country which affords similar protection to nationals o 2. If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employ nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by nationals of a | erson must be a U.S. national, national of the U.S. for the same genus and specie yed the original breeder(s), the company country which affords similar protection to | es. must be U.S. based, owned by o nationals of the U.S. for the same | | | | |

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provide and employer.

ST-470-E (04-03) designed by the Plant Variety Protection Office using Word 2000

Form Approved OMB NO 0581-0055

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and date on all reproductions.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parents status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

> U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY **PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE** BELTSVILLE, MD 20705

EXHIBIT F DECLARATION REGARDING DEPOSIT

| NAME OF OWNER (S) | ADDRESS (Street and No. or RD No., City, State, and Zip Code and Country) | TEMPORARY OR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNATION |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Michigan State University | East Lansing, MI 48824 | E1007W |
| | | VARIETY NAME Jewel |
| NAME OF OWNER REPRESENTATIVE (S) | ADDRESS (Street and No. or RD No., City, State, and Zip Code and Country) | EOR OFFICIAL USE ONEY |
| Michael R. Poterala Executive Director Office of Intellectual Property | East Lansing, MI 48824 | #200700408 |

I do hereby declare that during the life of the certificate a viable sample of propagating material of the subject variety will be deposited, and replenished as needed periodically, in a public repository in the United States in accordance with the regulations established by the Plant Variety Protection Office.

l R Po W

8-3-2007

Date

Signature